App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1926.
(Inwards)

File No. 85-26

Serial Nos. 1 - 4

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 4

Part B for October 1929

SUBJECT

- Grant of S. Nos 104 & 139 for the benefit of Sri Venkataramana Swamy temple at Robertsonpet, Kolar District

---

G.O. No 782 - 4
Dated 7.10.29

To the Inwg. Comr
Comptr
Inam Supdt

Sanctioned

G. R. S. 11] 1926

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inezzai)

NOTES.

File No

FILE HEADING.

Grant of inam lands for the benefit of Sri Venkatramanaswamy temple at Robertsonpet, Kolar Dist.

From

The Inam. Comr.

No. C. 1116 Dated 9-9-1926 Received

Diary No.	Enclos	Spare Copies	Serial No.
357			

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends that inam S. nos. 104 and 139 endowed to Banaswara temple at Bettamangala, Bowringpet Taluk, but now defunct, may be transferred to the said temple.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

In the matter of the transfer of the fourth grants of a defunct institution, deserving such aid to another institution, the II Asst. member of council has raised certain queries; and the H. C's report thereon

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial No.

is awaited - May orders in that file be awaited?

Submitted. BG
4/10/20 5/10/20

✓ I do not find available in the Proceedings on the Question raised by the Member

AV 11/10

× In Home file 16 of 26/Misc pp. 30-43)

Mr 15/10/20

2) When the need for an Endt. Clause. The general procedure to be followed is to resume the land Endowment, Cancel the Inam tenure and treat it as a Sircar land. Vide Rule V of the Rules for the guidance of the Inam Commr. on page 48 of the Manual. The Inam is confirmed "so long as the village Kept in good order and service "Continued to be performed" according "to the conditions of the grant". If this Condition is not confirmed to the inevitable result follows is the resumption of the Inam, & Cancellation of the Inam tenure.

NOTE—Contr. sheet.

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mer File No 85 - 26 of 192

Serial No.

4) The general rule is that "waste lands should not be granted for new temples built by private individuals". Vide G.O. No. 1033 dt. 26.3.96 page 67 of the Mag: Manual. But this does not dispense with an enquiry into the circumstances in each case before land is resumed from a defunct institution being granted in favour of another Mutt or a private institution which answers the religious needs of a fairly large section of the community.

5) There do not seem to be any rules specifically governing the resumption of inam lands from an institution that has become defunct. Such resumptions are generally ordered by Govt. as an act of grace & well managed institutions having a large no. of devotees.

6) The Mag. Coimbatore's reply may therefore be awaited.

2/11/26

7) Yes. But the necessary information re. 80s should be available here either in the Mag Coll or Mag

8 7/1.

The grant proposed in this case is for expenses in connection with the Reply on certain points raised by the Member in a similar case is put in the connected file herewith

Secd Member) 9 11/12

Dft draft of memorandum 11/12

11 DEC. 1926

No. 1402

Vide Govt. Order (Ms) No. 1402 Revenue (Temples) dated 16-12-1926.

NOTE Contn. Sheet No.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No of 192

Serial No

10 A printed copy of G O dt 22.2.28 passing orders on the Jaggal Question is placed on the file

11 Orders Solicited on the Rt C's Recommendation contained in Sl 1 Secretr.

Sd
20.4.1928.

12 The recommendation is that the Inam lands (which are small in extent) endowed to the Basaveswara temple at Kethanayakanahalli which is now defunct may be transfd to the Sri Venkataramana Swami temple at Robertsonpet which is said to be maintained in a very efficient condition & that the expenses incurred on its conservation & maintenance.

13 The M C's recommn may perhaps be accepted.

Sd
21.4.28

2d Member

14 Whether the Inam grant or grants should be continued on the principles laid down in G O No 2222 dated 22nd February 1928 may be considered.

1 APR. 1928
G120

EMPLOYMENT SECRETARY
23 APR. 1928
15984

NOTE—Contn. sheet

AV 25/4

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24 5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 45 of 192 6

Serial No

15 Mag I no 313 27 on the subject, is submitted

16 The M C's further report called for on the subject, is awaited

Submitted
17 (2nd Member) 26/4
26.4.28 26.4.28

18 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

No 16443.

19

20 typed
A list of 9 cases is submitted as directed by the Asstt Secy

25/4
25.5.28
14 5 28 8/5/28

(2nd Member) 23/5
21 Orders on the general 9th may be awaited.

22-5-28

24/5

17 APR. 1928 6238

MAY 1928 6688

NOTE.—Contn sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24 5 27

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No 85 of 1926

Serial No. 3

Orders have been passed on the general question, and a printed copy of G.O. D/1st March 29 Re Grants of Deputy Muzrai cists. is put up.

Orders solicited on the M.C's recommendation—

Submitted.

AL 9/7/29 WW 9/7

There is no definite information as to the communities who are the worshippers of the 2 different institutions. Samavaram temple seems to belong to Smarthas, people of Vaishnavaite denomination look by Brahmins or other Hindus & perhaps not by Smarthas. There may be objections by the latter for the transfer. The Mgr. may perhaps be asked to see that the objections will be raised for the transfer.

26/10 Members

VJ The M.C may be requested to state if his recommendation may be considered as Communal under recent orders &

11 JULY 1929 No. 299

Contn. sheet

WD 0321—GPB—60,000—21.5.27

copy sent to Mgr. 11/7/29

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 85 of 1926

Serial No.

WS - Submtr
M
13.7.29.

WW 29/7

2 To. The [illegible]
of 1921 [illegible]
Requests to advise whether the [illegible]
amendation be carried [illegible]
ing rules 1.9.1921 [illegible]
the Income Tax Act [illegible]
&c.

G.S (3) WW 30/7

3. Secy, Mr. C. Letter no. 1116 Dy 14.9.29
Reports that the above case
comes under G.O. Dy 1.3.29 and
Requests approval to his proposed
Recommendation.
3d Submtr for movg with ref to para 2
of the office note containing members'
minutes.

1920/29

AV 20/9/29 (Secretary) 20/9

WW 20/9

33 The MCs recommendation
(1) May be Sd.
A list of transfers of this kind
May be kept for ref
M
20/9/29

34
(1) WS G.O Submtr.
(2) A list will be maintained. 1) Done
AV 28/9/29 WW 28/9 2) Let me see this file
30.9.29

Serial No.	
4	2o. Military Comm Comptr Army Audit No 782-4 of 7-10 25 Remittances & receipts in Army Audit Bs 24/11 [illegible] Allotments to Imam Din, [illegible]

Plus
18/11

W D 0168 1—GPB—30,000—20 1-29

No. C 1116 & 25.26
enclose file with D.C's letter in original

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 7th / 9 September 1926.

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 13 SEP 1926 MUZRAI 357

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 9 SEP. 1926 G. D. SECTION.

To

C. Ranganatha Rao Saheb, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith correspondence ending with letter C.C.No.2503/24-25 dated 15th June 1926 from the -- Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, recommending that the -----

S.No.104 dry 0-16	assessed at	0-12-0	) marginally noted inam
S.No.139 " 0-15	" at	0-12-0	) lands originally ----

endowed to Basaveswara temple at Bethamangala, Bowringpet Taluk but now defunct may be transferred for the benefit of the Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Robertsonpet.

2. It is reported that the new temple at the latter place is maintained in a very efficient condition, that the organisers have spent a large amount for its construction and subsequent - maintenance, that it commands a large number of devotees as it is the only important temple in the mining area and that it -- deserves encouragement.

3. In these circumstances, I beg to support the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation and to solicit sanction of Government to transfer the lands in question for the benefit of the Sri Venkataramanaswami temple-Robertsonpet.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Assistant Commissioner S/pt
for Muzrai Commissioner.
Absent from Station

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT..... .....

....

....

Section mm 3

File No. 85-26

Serial No. 2

No. 121

Rec. 1/12.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

..... The M.C. .....

Date of issue..... 17.12.28

Signed by .. Mr D.K.

Sir,

According to your letter no. C. 1116 of 9th Septr 1926 recommending that items S. 104 and 139 endowed to Bethamangala Basweswara temple now defunct, may be transferred to Sri Venkatâramana Swamy temple at Robertsonpet, I am directed to request you to state if your recommendation may be considered as coming under G.O. No. over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 0399—GPB—20,000—18-9-28

Mis 69-26-2

Dy. 1st March 1877 Re minutes
of Defence Reg. meeting, and approved.
(2) The favour of a very early
reply is requested.
(3) The enclos. are returned for
ref & acc—duly.

I have &c.

WB
13/3/77

Jas Lewis Secy.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore,....15th..September..... 1929.

14

No. 1116 Entree 25-6

REV. SECRETARIAT
18 SEP 1929
MUZRAI
19. 476

FROM

A. K. Syed Taj Peeran, Esq., B.A.,
~~The~~ *Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.*

FINANCE SECRETARY
3464

To

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No.121/Muz.35-26-2 dated 15th July 1929 re transfer of S.Nos.104 and 139 endowed to Pasavanna Temple at Bethamangala to the temple of Sri Venkataramanaswami at Robertsonpet, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.2-C.301/28-29 dated 12th August 1929 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, opining that the case comes under Government Order No.1769-79, Muz. 49-28-2 dated 1st March 1929 and to request therefore that the recommendation already made in this office letter of the 9th September 1926 may kindly be approved.

2. The file of vernacular papers is enclosed for reference obliging return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

A. K. Syed Taj Peeran

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.A2-C.381/26-27 dated 12th August 1928 from the Deputy Commissioner Kolar District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Inams of defunct Muzrai institution-transfer of Sri Venkataramanaswami temple - Robertsonpet-Bowringpet -alur.

With reference to your Memo No.1116/25-26 dated 25-7-1928 re the transfer of S.Nos.104 and 139 endowed to Bethamangala Basaveswaraswami temple to the Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Robertsonpet, Bowringpet Taluk and enquiring whether the recommendation may be considered as coming under G.O.No.1769-72/Muz.49-28-2 dated 1st March 1928, I have the honor to reply in the affirmative.

The connected file is herewith retransmitted.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

246

G.I.S. App. F VI G-2]

SUBJECT..... .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192* .

Date of issue 7/10/29

Signed by Y.S. Somesvara

May this be

i Printed ? S, Nr 104 dy 0.16. arrear 0-8-0 0-10-0

ii treated as a standing order ? 135

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Correspondence ending with letter no. 1116 dy 11-9-1929 from the H.C. Reporting that Basaveswara temple at Bethamangala, Bowringpet Taluk, (now defunct) is endowed with the manyially-noted inam lands, that the new temple of Sri Venkataramana at Robertsonpet is maintained in a very efficient condition, the organisers having spent a large amount &c.,

Order Thereon No.

AC
RG
8/10

Construction and subsequent
maintenance, and that it commands
a large number of devotees
as it is the only important
temple in the mining area
Deserving encouragement,
and requesting Sanction to the
Dy. Comrs. recommendation
to transfer the inam lands
of Basaneswara temple [illegible]
in question for the benefit of
Sri Venkataramana Swamy
temple at Abbestanpet

Under [illegible] Secretariat Note

List of enclosure.

Sanctioned

The Inspr., Rev. Survey
is requested to effect the
needful alterations in
the Inam Registers maintained
in his office

Examined by

WD 0326—GPS—12,500—26-5-27

WD 30/8

Secretary

Compter
Inam